





In this update we highlight the key considerations for firms when assessing financial resource adequacy; as per FG20/1 (assessing adequate financial resources). It is important to note that firms are **required to conduct proportionate financial adequacy assessment annually**; including all firms subject to the Threshold conditions and/or Principles of Business.

# If you need further help

Should you require any further support regarding this update, please do not hesitate to contact us. We could support you further by:

- Providing Financial Adequacy Assessment Policy template
- Review and amend the risk assessment processes to be compliant with the new requirements
- Review and evaluate your financial adequacy assessments
- Conduct your financial adequacy assessment.

## As a general note, the FCA's aim with these requirements is to enable:

- firms to remain financially viable and to provide services through the economic cycle
- an orderly wind-down without causing undue economic harm to consumers or to the integrity of the UK financial system



# Assessing Adequacy of Financial Resources

The FCA published its final guidance on a framework to help financial services firms ensure they have adequate financial resources and to take effective steps to minimise harm. It includes a range of information that applies to all FCA solo-regulated firms subject to threshold conditions and/or the Principles for Businesses (PRIN); including firms with limited consumer credit permissions.

Credit Brokers & Lenders

#### What is this publication about?

This framework document explains the purpose of, and the FCA's approach to the assessment of adequate financial resources for all FCA solo-regulated firms (who are subject to threshold conditions and/or the Principles for Businesses (PRIN)).

It also provides further guidance on the meaning of 'adequate financial resources'. It sets out:

- the role of assessing adequate financial resources
- what the FCA look for from firms when assessing adequate financial resources
- The FCA's expectations as to the practices firms should adopt in their assessment of adequate financial resources

Publisher	Description	Link	
FCA	Guidance Paper – FS20/1	Click Here	

For record keeping

See "Note section at the end"



#### Aim of financial resource assessment

Through conducting the financial resource assessments, firms should envisage to:

- ensure firms have robust systems and controls, governance, leadership and a culture that reduces the risk of harm to consumers and markets
- ensure there is a proportionate and consistent approach in the assessments of adequate financial resources
- · have firms hold adequate resources that reflect the harm they may cause to consumers or UK financial markets
- reduce the likelihood that failure would impact consumers and the UK financial system
- minimise harm in the event of firms' failure as they exit the market, by having firms hold adequate resources and effective wind-down arrangements

#### Key components of the assessment

The assessment of appropriate resources under threshold conditions considers:

- the nature and scale of a firm's business model; the risks to the continuity of the services provided
- the impact of other members of the firm's group on the adequacy of its resources
- has the ability to meet its debts when they fall due (only one related to limited consumer credit firms)
- appropriate systems and controls and human resources to measure risks prudently at all times
- access to adequate capital to support the business, and that client money and custody assets are not placed at risk
- resources which are commensurate with the likely risks it faces
- consider a forward-looking approach to risks and how these evolve throughout the economic cycle
- reflect the risks to which the firm is exposed and the amount of risk it poses
- be proportionate to the likelihood of the risks occurring ensure they are financially sound while avoiding excessive costs, which could hinder firms from carrying out their business in a viable way happen at least annually, reflecting the fact that the business environment is dynamic so the assessments of risk and harm should be dynamic too



### What to consider...

## **Ensure Robust Systems**

- Is there clear "risk appetite"
- Is the risk management system robust and pass the "use test"?
- Is the systems and controls, governance, leadership and a culture robust enough to reduce the risk of harm to consumers and markets?
- Does our framework consider internal, group level and external risks?
- Can risks be quantified?

#### **Stress Test**

- Are risks stress tested?
- Are the scenarios that lead to wind-down identified (eg identified triggers)?
- Are the risks "sensible"?
- Do the assessments have a "forward looking" approach?
- Is it articulated how changes in operational and economic circumstances might affect the risks to which the firm is exposed and the ability to generate acceptable returns?

#### **Maintain Resources**

- Is there appropriate capital available?
- Is there appropriate "liquid resources" available?
- Are these requirements regularly reviewed?
- Can it be evidenced how the risks been quantified?

#### Wind-down

- Are wind-down scenarios identified?
- Is potential impact on consumers and financial markets considered?
- Is there an operational document to manage winddown?
- Is there sufficient capital to absorb winding-down costs and additional losses





You may use this section to take notes of key actions or assessments, by way of evidential record keeping.

